

**Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to the Figures. In particular, original figures 3-21, 39-46, 49, 58-80, 88-96, 103, 104, 127-132, 136-196, and 198-252 have been removed and transferred into a Computer Listing Appendix attached hereto via CD ROM. The remaining figures have been amended to be renumbered and repaginated to represent figures 1-68. Furthermore, a number of figures were missing reference numbers that were added based on the description in the as-filed specification.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

### **REMARKS**

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the personal interview previously conducted on February 28, 2005, and the Office action of March 6, 2006, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. An RCE is being filed in this case with this amendment.

Claims 1–82 have been canceled. New claims 83–110 have been added without adding any new matter.

Two copies of a substitute specification are attached hereto. One copy contains editing marks, the other copy is a clean copy without editing marks. This specification is to replace the existing specification in this case. This substitute specification includes a Computer Program Listing Appendix. Two copies of this appendix on CD ROM have been provided which incorporate the materials of original figures 3–22, 39–46, 49, 58–80, 88–96, 103, 104, 127–132, 136–196, and 198–252. Consequently, the computer program listing figures have been deleted and the figures renumbered. Furthermore, missing reference numbers were added to a number of the figures. No new matter has been added in this substitute specification.

The original independent claims have been canceled in light of the previously conducted personal interview, making the rejections of those claims moot. In addition, the context description data has been further defined in the newly added claims.

For example, claims 83 and 92 recites that content description data includes “a plurality of segments each for describing one of said plurality of scenes of media content’ and also includes “a plurality of importance attributes each associated with a corresponding one of said plurality of segments, said importance attributes having a value representing a degree of

contextual importance of said corresponding one of said plurality of segments.” None of the references cite context description data defined in this manner.

Furthermore, claim 101 recites context description data in an even more detailed manner that is clearly not found in the cited prior art.

Accordingly, the prior art does not teach all of the claim limitations of the newly added independent claims, and thus also the dependent claims.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 32161.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By 

Robert F. Bodi – Reg. No. 48,540

1801 East 9th Street  
Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700

Date: July 6, 2006